

# **Silicon Photomultiplier**

## **Operation, Performance & Possible Applications**

#### **Slawomir Piatek**

Technical Consultant, Hamamatsu Corp.

Copyright C Hamamatsu Photonics K.K. All Rights Reserved.

### Introduction

Very high intrinsic gain together with minimal excess noise make silicon photomultiplier (SiPM) a possible choice of a photodetector in those applications where the input light is in the photon-counting range.



#### Introduction

This webinar is a high-level review of SiPM's structure, operation, and opto-electronic characteristics, followed by a discussion of some possible applications.

## Outline

- Structure and operation
- Opto-electronic characteristics
- Applications
  - + Automotive ToF LiDAR
  - + Flow cytometry
  - + Radiation detection and monitoring
- Summary and conclusions



#### SiPM

# Structure and Operation of a SiPM



Portraits of SiPMs (images not to scale)



#### **SiPM** structure



SiPM is an array of microcells



### **SiPM** structure



#### All of the microcells are connected in parallel



### **SiPM specifications**

Active area:  $1.3 \times 1.3 - 6 \times 6 \text{ mm}^2$ 

Microcell size (pitch): 10×10, 15×15, 25×25, 50×50, 75×75 µm<sup>2</sup>

Number of microcells: (active area)/(microcell size), from 100's to 10,000's

Overvoltage:  $\Delta V = V_{BIAS} - V_{BD}$ ; recommended by the manufacturer



### **SiPM** operation





Example of single-photoelectron waveform (1 p.e.)

Gain = area under the curve in electrons



### **SiPM** operation



RC time constant of the slow component depends on microcell size (all else being equal)

Recovery time  $t_R \approx 5$  times the RC time constant

 $t_R$  is on the order of 10's to 100's ns but in practical situations, it is also a function of detection bandwidth

### **SiPM** operation



The output of an SiPM is a chronological superposition of current pulses

SiPM also outputs current pulses even in absence of light: dark counts (dark current)

### **Dark Counts**



Dark-count pulses are indistinguishable from those due to photons

The rate of dark counts depends on overvoltage, temperature, and size of the active area



### Crosstalk







Primary discharge can trigger a secondary discharge in neighboring microcells. This is crosstalk.

# Crosstalk probability depends on overvoltage



### Operation



If the pulses are distinguishable, SiPM can be operated in a **photon counting** mode.

If the pulses overlap, the SiPM can be operated in an **analog mode**. The measured output is voltage or current.



### SiPM detection circuits





#### SiPM

## Performance and characteristics





### **Characteristics of a SiPM**

- Photon detection efficiency
- Gain
- Temperature effects
- Crosstalk probability
- Dark current & dark counts
- Linearity & dynamic range



### **Photon detection efficiency**



- Photon detection efficiency (PDE) is a probability that an incident photon is detected. It depends on:
  - wavelength
  - overvoltage
  - microcell size

Peak PDE 20% - 50%



### **Photon detection efficiency**



Examples of PDE curves for SiPMs optimized for NIR, VIS, and UV response.



### Gain



• Gain of SiPM is comparable to that of a PMT.

Excess noise very low: F ~ 1.1, mostly due to crosstalk

 Gain depends linearly on overvoltage



### Gain versus temperature

#### Does gain of an SiPM depend on temperature?

#### Yes – if the bias voltage is fixed





### Gain versus temperature

Does gain of an SiPM depend on temperature?





### Crosstalk



 $P_{CT}$  increases with overvoltage

Crosstalk is the main contributor to excess noise

```
F \approx (1+P_{CT})
```

#### **Dark Current**



Example of dark current versus overvoltage

$$DCR = I_D/e\mu$$

$$\mu = 1.2 \times 10^6$$
 (at 7 V)

-> DCR ≈ 520 kHz

or once per about 2 µs



### Linearity and dynamic range



Example of output current versus incident light level.

Photon irradiance (at 850 nm) =  $4.3 \times 10^{18} \times P[W]$ 

 $P = 10^{-8} W \rightarrow 4.3 \times 10^{10}$  photons per second

Linearity depends on the number of microcells for a given active area



### SIPM, PMT & APD

This webinar will compare and contrast SiPM with a photomultiplier tube (PMT) and APD.

#### Let's briefly review the operation of a PMT and APD





Examples of a PMT (left) and APD (right).



### **Operation of a PMT**





### SiPM versus PMT

- Solid state *versus* vacuum tube technology
- Comparable gains
- Comparable excess noise
- Dark count rate per unit active area larger in SiPM
- E & B field immunity in SiPM

- Comparable photosensitivity in the spectral overlap region
- Greater optimization for PMTs



### **Operation of an APD**



APD biased below breakdown voltage

Single photon can lead up to about 100 of electron-hole pairs

Thus gain up to ~100

Avalanche is self-quenching



### SiPM versus APD



- Differ in construction
- Gain<sub>SiPM</sub> >> Gain<sub>APD</sub>
- F<sub>SIPM</sub> << F<sub>APD</sub>



### **Possible applications of SiPMs**

- Automotive time-of-flight LiDAR
- Flow cytometry
- Radiation detection and monitoring



### Automotive time-of-flight LiDAR





### Automotive ToF LiDAR: basic concept





### Automotive ToF LiDAR: basic concept

Measure round-trip time-of-flight  $\Delta t$ 

Range (distance to the reflection point) =  $c\Delta t/2$ ; here c is the speed of light

By scanning the surroundings, a 3D map can be constructed



### **Characteristics of received light**

- Wavelength: 905 nm or 1550 nm
- Pulse: duration 2 5 ns
- No. of photons per returned pulse: 100's 10,000's on detector's active area
- Repetition frequency: kHz MHz
- DC photon background



### Photodetector requirements

- High quantum efficiency at 905 nm and/or 1550 nm (affects detection range)
- High detector (intrinsic) gain (reduces importance of electronic noise)
- Small excess noise (affects timing error)
- Small time jitter (affects distance resolution)

#### APD has been a default detector. Could SiPM be a better choice?



### Photosensitivity



180

### **Intrinsic gain**



#### **Excess noise**



## **Time jitter**

There are two contributions to timing jitter:

- "Classical" jitter variation in response time, often reported for a single photon illumination. This contribution is on the order of 100 ps for SiPMs and APDs
- 2. Time-walk effect. For a constant trigger level, timing depends gain variation and signal intensity.



### **Time jitter**



For a given light level SiPM has smaller time-walk effect because of its lower excess noise.



### Take-away points

- SiPMs are likely to compete successfully with APDs at 905 nm because of their higher gain and much lower excess noise. Empirical evidence is forthcoming.
- 2. Sensitivity at 905 nm will improve in a new generation SiPMs
- 3. SiPMs with sensitivity at 1550 nm are being developed.



#### **Flow cytometry**



#### Studying biological cells with light



### Flow cytometry (basic concept)



### **Flow cytometry**



Flow cytometry also uses fluorescence tagging to study cells

### Flow cytometry

- Used to study and sort biological cells
- Side-scatter signal vs. forward scatter signal depends on cell properties
- Fluorescence is also employed (dyes attached to cells) to produce a variety of plots using fluorescence signal(s)
- The optical system employs a combination of lasers (different wavelengths), optical filters, and photodetectors



#### Flow cytometry data

Side scatter signal



Side scatter vs. forward scatter plot – the most fundamental in flow cytometry

Cell's characteristics such as size, complexity, or refractive index affect the relative strengths of side scatter and forward scatter signals

Forward scatter signal



### **Characteristics of received light**

- Wavelength: can be selected depending on cell sizes and fluoresce
- Pulses duration dependent on sheath flow speed and cell size and is on the order of µs.
- No. of photons per pulse varies from few to thousands
- Rate of pulses in kHz



### Side-scatter photodetector requirements

- High photodetection efficiency (affects S/N of detection)
- High intrinsic gain (reduces importance of electronic noise)
- Minimal excess noise (affects accuracy of the scatter plots; random noise)
- High linearity (affects accuracy of the scatter plot; systematic errors)
- High dynamic range (affects accuracy of the scatter plot; systematic errors)

#### PMT is commonly used. Could SiPM be a better choice?

### Photosensitivity





### Gain





#### **Excess Noise**

 $F \approx 1 + P_{CT}$  (SiPM)

Excess noise increases with gain

#### $F \approx \delta/(\delta - 1)$ (PMT; $\delta$ – gain of the first dynode)

Excess noise **decreases** with gain



### Linearity/dynamic range (PMT)



10% nonlinearity: 75 mA,  $T_P$  = 500 ns

Gain = 
$$2 \times 10^6$$
, QE =  $28\%$ 

No. of incident photons at 450 nm:

4.2×10<sup>5</sup>



### Linearity/dynamic range (SiPM)

$$\overline{N}_{\text{fired}} = N_{\text{tot}} \left(\frac{T_P}{t_r}\right) \left(1 - \exp\frac{-N_{\gamma}PDE}{\left(\frac{T_P}{t_r}\right)N_{\text{tot}}}\right)$$

 $N_{tot}$  = 14,400; PDE = 25%,  $t_r$  = 50 ns

 $N_v$  PDE = 1.1×10<sup>5</sup> (ideal response)

 $N_{fired} = 0.75 \times 10^5$  or 32% below an ideal response



### Take-away points

- 1. The major weakness of SiPMs in flow cytometry is limited dynamic range and linearity
- 2. However, out of dozens of optical channels in a flow cytometer, SIPM can be suitable for some
- 3. There is a great interest in using SiPMs in flow cytometry but little published work on this subject exists



### **Radiation monitoring and spectroscopy**





### Radiation monitoring (basic idea)



An event is registered if the output signal exceeds the threshold level.



### Radiation monitoring (basic idea)



- Used to detect the presence of specific radiation
- Monitoring devices are often portable and hand-held.
- Information provided: radiation rate (flux can be derived)



### **Characteristics of received light**

- Wavelength dependent on the choice of scintillator often in the 300 nm – 500 nm range
- Pulses
- Number of photons per pulse depends on energy of ionizing radiation and type of scintillator
- Duration of the pulse depends on the size and type of the scintillator (decay time constants range from ns to μs)
- Frequency of pulses depends on the rate of incoming radiation



### **Photodetector requirements**

- High photodetection efficiency
- High intrinsic gain
- Large active area
- Ability to couple to a scintillator
- Suitable for portable hand-held devices



### **Radiation spectroscopy**





### Radiation spectroscopy (basic idea)





### **Photodetector requirements**

- High photodetection efficiency (affects S/N of the detection and thus resolution)
- **High intrinsic gain** (reduces the importance of electronic noise and, thus, better count rate and measurable lower energy levels)
- Low excess noise (affects energy resolution)
- High linearity (affects systematic errors and energy range)
- Ability to couple to a scintillator



### Radiation detection photodetectors

PMTs used to dominate the detector choice in radiation detection, monitoring, and spectroscopy.

SiPMs are becoming a viable alternative.

Due to a multitude of possible detection scenarios, it is best to perform a side-by-side comparison between an SiPM and a PMT.



### SiPM vs. PMT in γ-ray detection



# Example of energy spectra from Grodzicka et al. 2017 [Nuclear Inst. and Methods in Physics Research, A 874 (2017) 137–148]

### SiPM vs. PMT in γ-ray detection

Source	Energy [keV]	CeBr <sub>3</sub>			NaI:Tl			CsI:Tl		
		MPPC	PPC		MPPC		PMT	MPPC		PMT
		FWHM/centroid, [%]	Energy resolution after correction	Energy resolution [%]	FWHM/centroid, [%]	Energy resolution after correction	Energy resolution [%]	FWHM/centroid, [%]	Energy resolution after correction	Energy resolution [%]
<sup>22</sup> Na	511	7.1 ± 0.4	7.6 ± 0.4	5.6 ± 0.2	9.1 ± 0.5	9.6 ± 0.5	$7.1 \pm 0.2$	7±0.4	$7.2 \pm 0.4$	7.9 ± 0.3
<sup>137</sup> Cs	662	$5.9 \pm 0.3$	6.4 ± 0.3	4.9 ± 0.2	$8.1 \pm 0.4$	8.7 ± 0.4	$6.3 \pm 0.2$	$6.1 \pm 0.3$	6.4 ± 0.3	$6.3 \pm 0.2$
<sup>22</sup> Na	1275	$4.2 \pm 0.2$	$5.1 \pm 0.3$	$3.7 \pm 0.1$	$5.8 \pm 0.3$	6.5 ± 0.4	4.8 ± 0.2	$4.5 \pm 0.3$	4.9 ± 0.3	4.7 ± 0.2
PuBe	3416	$3.4 \pm 0.2$	4.9 ± 0.3	3.8 ± 0.1	5±0.3	$5.9 \pm 0.3$	4.5 ± 0.2	$4.1 \pm 0.2$	4.7 ± 0.2	$4.6 \pm 0.2$
PuC	5116	$2.1 \pm 0.1$	3.5 ± 0.2	2.3 ± 0.1	3.9 ± 0.2	4.7 ± 0.3	$2.8 \pm 0.1$	2.9±2	3.6 ± 0.2	3.9 ± 0.1

SiPM – PMT comparison for different energies and scintillators from Grodzicka et al. 2017 [Nuclear Inst. and Methods in Physics Research, A 874 (2017) 137–148]



### Take-away points

- 1. SiPMs provide comparable performance to PMTs in radiation monitoring and spectroscopy
- 2. It is likely that the majority of hand-held devices will employ SiPMs
- 3. Side-by-side comparison is the best approach in deciding if an SiPM or a PMT should be used for a given detection application



### **Summary and conclusions**

- High gain, low excess noise, magnetic immunity, and ease of use are some of the highly desirable characteristics of SiPMs
- There is a great interest in using SiPMs instead of APDs and PMTs in a variety of applications
- New generation SiPMs will have improved characteristics
  making the transition more likely



#### Visit Booth #521 & Presentations at PW18

#### **Development of an InGaAs SPAD 2D array for Flash LIDAR**

Presentation by Takashi Baba, January 29, 2018 (11:00 AM - 11:30 AM)

#### **Development of an InGaAs MPPC for NIR photon counting applications**

Presentation by Yusei Tamura, January 30, 2018 (5:50 PM - 6:10 PM)

#### Photodetectors, Raman Spectroscopy, and SiPMs versus PMTs

One-day Workshop with Slawomir Piatek, January 31, 2018 (8:30 AM - 5:30 PM) – Free Registration Needed

#### **Development of a Silicon hybrid SPAD 1D array for LIDAR and spectrometers**

Poster session with Shunsuke Adachi, January 31, 2018 (6:00 PM - 8:00 PM)



### Thank you for listening!



**Slawomir Piatek** 

piatek@njit.edu